UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO June 150 Alexandri Vingmin 22313-1450 www.usylio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/820,530	03/29/2001	Kazutoyo Maehiro	P23936	5426	
	7590 07/11/2007 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.		EXAM	EXAMINER	
1950 ROLANI	CLARKE PLACE		LÉE, PHILIP C		
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2152		
•		•			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/11/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/820,530	MAEHIRO, KAZUTOYO	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Philip C. Lee	2152	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed  m the mailing date of this communication. HED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:	Date	

Art Unit: 2152

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 03/23/07, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

- 2. To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:
- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.
- 3. If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).
- 4. Claims 1-9 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections – 35 USC 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2152

6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morris et al, U.S. Patent 7,216,144 (hereinafter Morris) in view of Slutsman et al, U.S. Patent 7,177,905 (hereinafter Slutsman), and further in view of Shtivelman, U.S. Patent 6,346,952 (hereinafter Shtivelman).

7. As per claims 1 and 9, Morris teaches the invention substantially as claimed in which a chairman who opens an electronic conference (col. 2, lines 37-41)sets an area (it is inherent that a virtual space must be set for storing chat messages (col. 2, lines 9-29)) for storing chat messages, and in which guests who join said electronic chat send requests for access from video game terminals of the guests (col. 12, lines 32-33), the method comprising:

giving an instruction from the chairman's video game terminal for transmission of said invitation message (col. 12, lines 22-25)(e.g., clicks on the "SEND" button), and

transmitting from the chairman's video game terminal an invitation signal comprising said setup information to said guests' video game terminals based on only said instruction (col. 12, lines 22-32).

8. Morris does not teach storing setup information in a storage section of a chairman's game terminal. Slutsman teaches comprising:

storing setup information for setting said area in a storage section of a chairman's terminal (col. 2, lines 49-56, 60-62; col. 3, lines 4-10)(storing session ID in host terminal),

Application/Control Number: 09/820,530

Page 4

Art Unit: 2152

creating from the chairman's terminal an invitation message comprising said setup information stored in a storage section (col. 3, lines 4-10)(creating a message with session ID stored and missing information, which are part of the conference request),

receiving at the guests' terminals said invitation signal and obtaining said setup information (col. 3, lines 17-28),

creating at the guests' terminals access request signals comprising said setup information (col. 3, lines 30-33), and

transmitting from the guests' terminals to said database (WSCP), said access request signals solely in response to each guest's instruction (col. 3, lines 30-33) (it is inherent that transmission of conference response is in response to the participant's instructions (e.g., clicks on "SEND" or "ACCEPT" button)).

- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Morris and Slutsman because Slutsman's teaching of storing setup information at the chairman's terminal would enhance the conference negotiating mechanism in Morris's systems by allowing all potential conference participants to use the session identifier for conference negotiation communication to identify the conference to which the communication applies (col. 2, lines 49-56).
- 10. Morris and Slutsman do not teach a database area storing chat messages. Shtivelman teaches a database area storing chat messages that are sent to and from the terminals (col. 2, lines 50-56; col. 12, lines 16-22; col. 17, lines 47-51).

Art Unit: 2152

12.

device (col. 12, lines 22-32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention 11. was made to combine the teachings of Morris, Slutsman and Shtivelman because Shtivelman's teaching of a database area storing chat messages would increase the accessibility of Morris's and Slutsman's systems by allowing threaded chat interaction stored in a database for later access and research (col. 2, lines 50-53).

- As per claim 5, Morris teaches the invention substantially as claimed in which a chairman who opens an electronic conference (col. 2, lines 37-41)sets an area (it is inherent that a virtual space must be set for storing chat messages (col. 2, lines 9-29)), the area storing chat messages that are sent to and from terminals (col. 2, lines 9-29) and in which guests who join said electronic conference send requests for access from video game terminals of the guests (col. 12, lines 32-33), comprises an instruction device that gives an instruction for transmission of said invitation message created by an invitation message creator(col. 12, lines 22-25)(e.g., clicks on the "SEND" button), and an invitation signal transmitter that transmits an invitation signal comprising said setup information to said guests' video game terminals solely in response to said instruction from the instruction
- Morris does not teach chairman's video game terminal comprising a storage that stores 13. setup information. Slutsman teaches comprising: a chairman's terminal comprising a storage that

Art Unit: 2152

stores setup information for setting said area (col. 2, lines 49-56, 60-62; col. 3, lines 4-10) (storing session ID in host terminal), an invitation message creator that creates an invitation message comprising said setup information stored in said storage (col. 3, lines 4-10)(creating a message with session ID stored and missing information, which are part of the conference request), and

said guests' terminals comprising a setup information retriever that receives said invitation signal and obtains said setup information(col. 3, lines 17-28),

an access request signal source that creates an access request signals comprising said setup information obtained by the setup information retriever (col. 3, lines 30-33), and a request signal transmitter that transmits to said database (WSCP), said access request signals created at said access request signal source solely in response to each guest's instruction (col. 3, lines 30-33) (it is inherent that transmission of conference response is in response to the participant's instructions (e.g., clicks on "SEND" or "ACCEPT" button)).

14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Morris and Slutsman because Slutsman's teaching of storing setup information at the chairman's terminal would enhance the conference negotiating mechanism in Morris's systems by allowing all potential conference participants to use the session identifier for conference negotiation communication to identify the conference to which the communication applies (col. 2, lines 49-56).

Art Unit: 2152

15. Morris and Slutsman do not teach a database area storing chat messages. Shtivelman teaches a database area storing chat messages that are sent to and from the terminals (col. 2, lines 50-56; col. 12, lines 16-22; col. 17, lines 47-51).

Page 7

- 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Morris, Slutsman and Shtivelman because Shtivelman's teaching of a database area storing chat messages would increase the accessibility of Morris's and Slutsman's systems by allowing threaded chat interaction stored in a database for later access and research (col. 2, lines 50-53).
- 17. As per claims 2 and 6, Morris, Slutsman and Shtivelman teach the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 5 above. Morris further teach wherein said setup information comprises at least one of a name of said electronic conference and a code number for accessing said area of said database (col. 12, lines 26-31)(e.g., chat room name).
- 18. As per claims 3 and 7, Morris, Slutsman and Shtivelman teach the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 5 above. Morris and Slutsman further teach comprising: receiving at the chairman's terminal, an opening response signal from said server, said opening response signal indicating that said area has been set in said database, said opening response signal comprises an ID number for allowing said server to identify said area of said database (see Slutsman, col. 2, lines 49-56, 60-62; col. 3, lines 4-10),

transmitting from the chairman's terminal said invitation signal containing said ID number (see

Art Unit: 2152

Morris, col. 12, lines 22-32; see Slutsman, col. 3, lines 17-24), and adding said ID number contained in said invitation signal to said access request signal (see Slutsman, col. 3, lines 30-33).

19. As per claims 4 and 8, Morris, Slutsman and Shtivelman teach the invention substantially as claimed in claims 3 and 7 above. Morris further teach wherein said setup information comprises at least one of a name of said electronic conference and a code number for accessing said area of said database (col. 12, lines 26-31)(e.g., chat room name).

## CONCLUSION

- 20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, filed 03/23/07, have been fully considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.
- 21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pelkey et al, US 7,056,217; Harvey et al, US 6,519,629; Roskowski et al, US 2006/0010201.

22. A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C Lee whose telephone

Art Unit: 2152 -

number is (571)272-3967. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM TO 5:30 PM Monday to Thursday and every other Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P.L.

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER